

**United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois**

Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge	Milton I. Shadur	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge	
CASE NUMBER	01 C 9098	DATE	6/10/2003
CASE TITLE	Tevlin vs. Metropolitan Water		

[In the following box (a) indicate the party filing the motion, e.g., plaintiff, defendant, 3rd party plaintiff, and (b) state briefly the nature of the motion being presented.]

**DOCKET ENTRY:**

(1)  Filed motion of [ use listing in "Motion" box above.]

(2)  Brief in support of motion due \_\_\_\_\_.

(3)  Answer brief to motion due \_\_\_\_, Reply to answer brief due \_\_\_\_\_.

(4)  Ruling/Hearing on \_\_\_\_\_ set for \_\_\_\_\_ at \_\_\_\_\_.

(5)  Status hearing[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on \_\_\_\_\_ set for \_\_\_\_\_ at \_\_\_\_\_.

(6)  Pretrial conference[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on \_\_\_\_\_ set for \_\_\_\_\_ at \_\_\_\_\_.

(7)  Trial[set for/re-set for] on \_\_\_\_\_ at \_\_\_\_\_.

(8)  [Bench/Jury trial] [Hearing] held/continued to \_\_\_\_\_ at \_\_\_\_\_.

(9)  This case is dismissed [with/without] prejudice and without costs[by/agreement/pursuant to]  
 FRCP4(m)    Local Rule 41.1    FRCP41(a)(1)    FRCP41(a)(2).

(10)  [Other docket entry] Enter Memorandum Order. Tevlin's motion in limine (31-1) is granted in its entirety.

(11)  [For further detail see order attached to the original minute order.]

	No notices required, advised in open court.		Document Number
	No notices required.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Notices mailed by judge's staff.	JUN 11 2003 date docketed	
	Notified counsel by telephone.	<i>CJN</i> docketing deputy initials	
	Docketing to mail notices.	6/10/2003 date mailed notice	
	Mail AO 450 form.		
	Copy to judge/magistrate judge.		
SN	courtroom deputy's initials		
<p style="text-align: center;">U.S. DISTRICT COURT CLERK</p> <p style="text-align: center;">03 JUN 10 PM 4:41</p> <p style="text-align: center;">FILED-03 JUN 10</p> <p>Date/time received in central Clerk's Office</p>			

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION

RICHARD TEVLIN, )  
                    )  
                    Plaintiff, )  
                    )  
v.                 )                  No. 01 C 9098  
                    )  
METROPOLITAN WATER RECLAMATION )  
DISTRICT OF GREATER CHICAGO, )  
                    )  
                    Defendant. )

**DOCKETED**  
JUN 11 2003

MEMORANDUM ORDER

When this Court held its April 2, 2003 conference with counsel for the parties to review their jointly-submitted proposed Final Pretrial Order ("FPTO"), it followed its consistent practice of coupling the approval of the FPTO with the establishment of a timetable for the parties' submission of any motions in limine and the responses to those motions. Each side's counsel adhered to that timetable for advancing his or its own motion in limine, but the motion submitted by defendant Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago ("District") was so plainly wanting in merit that this Court dispatched it (with one truly minor exception) via a memorandum order issued just two days later.

District's counsel then compounded the felony committed by its own groundless motion by failing to respond to the motion in limine that had been filed on behalf of plaintiff Richard Tevlin ("Tevlin"). Although an April 30 date had been fixed for such a response, District has tendered nothing either then or during the

34

six weeks since then.

This Court can only assume from such silence that District has no objection to Tevlin's motion. Accordingly that motion (Dkt. No. 31-1) is granted in its entirety.



---

Milton I. Shadur  
Senior United States District Judge

Date: June 10, 2003